1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	Jammu & Kashmir	0	0	0	0	0	3094	3094	0
12	Jharkhand	0	0	0	0	0	3538	3538	2551
13	Karnataka	0	0	0	0	0	5786	5786	2926
14	Kerala	95	0	0	95	0	871	871	129
15	Madhya Pradesh	61	6	19	86	0	9691	9691	9820
16	Maharashtra	67	19	16	102	2075	10533	12608	3640
17	Manipur	0	4	0	4	175	2162	2337	1552
18	Meghalaya	0	0	0	0	0	493	493	0
19	Mizoram	4	0	0	4	121	177	298	0
20	Nagaland	0	3	0	3	0	261	261	0
21	Orissa	0	0	3	3	0	21128	21128	5397
22	Punjab	4	0	2	6	630	5267	5897	1220
23	Rajasthan	17	8	1	26	2205	4338	6543	3523
24	Sikkim	1	1	0	2	45	200	245	0
25	Tamil Nadu	0	0	0	0	0	2234	2234	1772
26	Tripura	0	0	0	0	0	2527	2527	0
27	Uttarakhand	3	0	3	6	446	7929	8375	2444
28	Uttar Pradesh	0	0	0	0	0	14604	14604	22186
29	West Bengal	117	39	4	160	9030	15988	25018	0
30	A&N Islands	0	0	0	0	0	17	17	31
31	Chandigarh	0	0	0	0	0	130	130	0
32	D&N Haveli	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Daman & Diu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Lakshadweep	0	8	0	8	8	1	9	11
35	Pondicherry	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	0
	TOTAL:	497	220	75	792	29168	184118	213286	77053

NGOs blacklisted for irregularities

†1857. SHRI PYARELAL KHANDELWAL: Will the Minister of WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some Non-Governmental Organisations have been blacklisted by Government for irregularity in the project and for misuse of Government fund;

(b) if so, the details of such organisations, State-wise;

(c) whether action has been taken by Government against such organisations; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The list of available on the website of the Ministry i.e. www.wcd.nic.in under the heading NGOs. List of NGOs blacklisted by Central Social Welfare Board is also placed on their website www.cswb.gov. in.

(c) and (d) Besides stoping funding, State Governments/State Social Welfare Boards are advised to initiate recovery proceedings against such defaulting NGOs.

Public-Private Partnership in ICDS

1858. SHRI RAVULA CHANDRA SEKAR REDDY: Will the Minister of WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Anganwadi workers and helpers are opposing Government move to introduce public-private partnership in the ICDS;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the present status thereof;

(c) whether the private players would promote commercial interests; and

(d) if so, what steps Government would take to protect the interests of Anganwadi workers in the country?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) and (b) No, Sir. No such communication has been received from the Anganwadi workers and helpers.

(c) and (d) The details of the proposed intervention are yet to be worked out. However, the intervention being proposed is to facilitate improvement in quality of service delivery which would benefit children and women accessing services under the ICDS scheme.

Contractors under ICDS

1859. DR. M.A.M. RAMASWAMY: Will the Minister of WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that, under the supplementary nutrition programme of the Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) scheme, contractors cannot be engaged for procurement, storage and distribution of foodgrains meant for children;

(b) if so, the States where contractors are being engaged in such operations; and

(c) the reasons for such engagement of contractors?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) The Hon'ble Supreme Court, *vide* its order dated 7.10.2004 had, *inter-alia*, directed all the States/UTs that "the contractors shall not be used for supply of nutrition in Anganwadis and preferably ICDS funds shall be spent by making use of village communities, self-help groups and Mahila Mandals for buying of grains and preparation of meals".

(b) and (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.